

**STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND**

**BETWEEN**

**ENFIELD COUNCIL**

**AND**

**CANAL & RIVER TRUST**

In respect of Enfield Council's Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017) (ELAAP)

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify areas of agreement and disagreement between Enfield Council and the Canal & River Trust on matters relating to the Council's Submission Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017).

<b>Issue (Document Order)</b>	<b>Representation Ref. No</b>
Chapter 5 – Policy EL1	18 B
Chapter 5 - Policy EL6	18 D
Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.9.6	18 G
Chapter 5 - Policy EL9	18 H
Chapter 5 - Policy EL11	18 J
Chapter 5 - Policy EL12	18 K
Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.11.11	18 L
Chapter 11 - Policy EL22	18 O
Chapter 11 - Policy EL24	18 P

Issue (Document Order)	Representation Ref. No
Chapter 12 - Policy EL27	18 R

**Notes on agreed amendments:**

1. **Bold underlined text indicates an addition to the ELAAP text**
2. ~~Strikethrough text indicates a deletion to the ELAAP text~~

**Areas of Agreement:**

<b>Chapter 5 – Policy EL1</b>	<b>18 B</b>
-------------------------------	-------------

Both parties agree that development must be high quality and design-led, having regard to the context and to insert the following wording to Policy EL1, Part B, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph:

*Higher density development should be situated in areas with higher levels of accessibility to public transport and/ or where it can capitalise most appropriately on features such as views and open spaces, **while respecting wider visual and public amenity.***

Both parties agree that developments must lead to high quality public realm, and that Policy EL1 should reference Core Policy 30 'Maintaining and Improving the Quality of the Built and Open Environment' as follows:

*This policy should be read in conjunction with Core Policies 3, 5, **30** and 38 ...*

**Chapter 5 Policy EL2: Economy and Employment in Meridian Water**

Both parties agree that Policy EL2 should, as per the submitted Schedule of Minor Amendments, be updated to refer to the "River Lee Navigation" rather than "Lee Navigation River".

**Chapter 5 Policy EL4: Ravenside Retail Park**

Both parties agree that any improvements to the public realm at Ravenside Retail Park should also improve the site's interaction with the River Lee Navigation corridor, and that Policy EL4, 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point, should be amended as follows:

- Improvements to the public realm, including active frontages, ~~and~~ green landscaping and interaction with the River Lee Navigation corridor; and

<b>Chapter 5 - Policy EL6</b>	<b>18 D</b>
-------------------------------	-------------

Both parties agree that there should be active frontages around the crossing of the River Lee Navigation to allow for natural surveillance. Amend the text at Policy EL6, Part B, 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point as follows:

*'Ensure active frontages to the Causeway on both sides and, where the River Lee Navigation is crossed, to extend the activity around the corner plots to permit natural surveillance around and under the bridge;*

<b>Chapter 5 - Paragraph 5.9.6</b>	<b>18 G</b>
------------------------------------	-------------

Both parties agree that reference should be made in the supporting text to exploring opportunities for surface water drainage into the River Lee Navigation, subject to assessment for pollution and flow-rate and EA permit. Insert text at the end of paragraph 5.9.6:

*The River Lee Navigation provides an opportunity for receiving surface water drainage from Meridian Water and proposals should explore this potential, subject to assessment for pollution and flow-rate and Environment Agency permit.*

<b>Chapter 5 - Policy EL9</b>	<b>18 H</b>
-------------------------------	-------------

Both parties support making use of the watercourses and the potential for new waterspaces in appropriate locations at Meridian Water. It is agreed to add text to Policy EL9 to follow the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point:

- **That opportunities for new waterspaces to support leisure uses within Meridian Water have been considered where appropriate;**

<b>Chapter 5 - Policy EL11</b>
--------------------------------

<b>18 J</b>
-------------

Both parties agree that the ELAAP should support tall building design which considers the impact of overshadowing on public spaces, with the following inserted as a 9<sup>th</sup> bullet point to Policy EL11, Part C:

- **Avoid compromising through overshadowing the enjoyment of open spaces, including water spaces;**

<b>Chapter 5 - Policy EL12</b>
--------------------------------

<b>18 K</b>
-------------

Both parties agree on the potential benefits of moorings along the River Lee Navigation within Meridian Water and will include in Policy EL12 the following additional 5<sup>th</sup> bullet point under 'Waterways and Water Frontages':

- **Where suitable, the provision of residential and commercial moorings along the River Lee Navigation, particularly where this will contribute to an active and vibrant waterway corridor;**

<b>Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.11.11</b>
------------------------------------

<b>18 L</b>
-------------

Both parties agree that proposals should be encouraged to include waterside and waterway within the boundary of their sites to ensure the public realm is planned, and improvements delivered, in a way that is integrated with the development. Insert text as a new paragraph to follow paragraph 5.11.11:

Planning proposals are encouraged to include the waterside and waterway within the boundary of their sites, where relevant, to ensure that the public realm is planned, and improvements delivered, in a way that is integrated with the development.

<b>Chapter 11 - Policy EL22</b>	<b>18 O</b>
---------------------------------	-------------

Both parties agree that the environment for cyclists and pedestrians below and alongside the North Circular Road should be improved and to amend the wording in Policy EL22, 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point:

- *Improved public realm, including where routes pass beneath the North Circular Road; and*

<b>Chapter 11 - Policy EL24</b>	<b>18 P</b>
---------------------------------	-------------

Both parties agree on the potential beneficial effect of encouraging commercial and residential uses on the River Lee Navigation through support for moorings and to extend Policy EL24 as follows:

*Where suitable, the Council will support opportunities for waterborne traffic, on the River Lee Navigation, in particular:*

- *Freight transport to help alleviate the road network;*
- *Leisure, tourism and educational uses, such as day trip boats, overnight moorings, and a 'floating classroom';*
- *Waterbus and/or water taxis to transport passengers along the Lee Valley; and*
- **Residential uses and other commercial uses, where appropriate;**

*Any proposals must be considered through consultation with the relevant statutory organisations.*

Both parties support waterborne freight traffic on the River Lee Navigation where suitable and agree to insert additional text at paragraph 11.7.3:

*Transferring road freight to the waterways offers the potential to reduce the impact of freight transport on the local highway network and developments adjacent to the*

**Navigation should consider the use of waterborne freight for transporting demolition waste and construction materials.**

**Chapter 12 - Policy EL27**

**18.R**

Both parties agree that policy wording regarding the use of waterway for cooling should remain unchanged and not reduce the feasibility evaluation threshold.

**Signed on behalf of Enfield Council**

**Signed on behalf of Canal & River Trust**

Signed



Signed



Neeru Kareer BA (Hons) MSc MRTPI  
Head of Strategic Planning and Design  
(interim)

Debbie Fifer BSc (Hons) DipTP MRTPI  
Planning Manager

Place

Date: 04.10.2018

Date: 04/10/18