

**STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND**

**BETWEEN**

**ENFIELD COUNCIL**

**AND**

**HISTORIC ENGLAND**

In respect of Enfield Council's Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017) (ELAAP)

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared to identify areas of agreement and disagreement between Enfield Council and Historic England on matters relating to the Council's Submission Edmonton Leaside Area Action Plan (2017).

<b>Issue (Document Order)</b>	<b>Representation Ref. No</b>
Chapter 2 - paragraphs 2.1.5 and 2.1.6	06 A, B
Chapter 5, Policy EL11	06, A
Chapter 5, Section 5.2	06 B
Chapter 5 - Policy EL12	06 A, D
Chapter 5 - Policy EL12	06 E
Not specific	06 C, E

**Notes on agreed amendments:**

1. **Bold underlined text indicates an addition to the ELAAP text**
2. ~~Strikethrough text indicates a deletion to the ELAAP text~~

**Areas of Agreement:**

Chapter 2 – paragraphs 2.1.5 and 2.1.6
--

06 A, B
---------

Both parties agree that the Edmonton Leaside area does not encompass known designated heritage assets (as defined in the NPPF). However, there is historic significance in the River Lee Navigation and associated industrial heritage, and the proposed plan area is within an Area of Archaeological Importance as identified in Enfield's Local Plan. The historical context and significance of the ELAAP area is described in brief in paragraphs 2.1.5 and 2.1.6 of the document.

In 2017 the Council approved a Local List which was developed in a comprehensive way to identify non-designated heritage assets. The list was developed in accordance with Historic England best practice guidance. A Local Heritage Review Board involved stakeholders across the project and evaluated the survey data collected by the volunteers for compliance with the agreed selection criteria. The local list did not identify any non-designated heritage assets within the Edmonton Leaside AAP boundary.

Within the past two years the Council has reviewed and updated its Conservation Area Appraisals including the Ponders End Mills and Enfield Lock Conservation Area Appraisals, both of which lie to the north of the AAP area and set out a detailed evaluation of the history and evolution of the River Lea Navigation and those features which contribute to their special architectural and historic interest, including their setting. Montagu Cemeteries Conservation Area to the west of the AAP also has a detailed appraisal setting out its significance, including the contribution of its setting. The Conservation Area Appraisals also include CA Management Proposals which set out how these areas will be managed in order to preserve or enhance their special character.

To the east of the AAP boundary lies a group of three grade II designated heritage assets, Chingford Mill Pumping Station the turbine house and metal railings. These fall within the London Borough of Waltham Forest. Where development will affect the setting of designated heritage assets this will be assessed against the policies set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

A new Enfield Heritage Strategy is currently being prepared which will replace the 2008 Strategy. The emerging strategy includes aims and objectives, one of which is to improve understanding of the heritage value of waterways by undertaking a review of waterways and consider protection and

interpretation options. The new Heritage Strategy is scheduled for adoption March 2019 and an area-specific heritage strategy for Edmonton Leaside is not necessary.

<b>Chapter 5, Policy EL11</b>
-------------------------------

<b>06 A</b>
-------------

Both parties agree that for tall building proposals there must be an assessment of any impact on the setting of heritage assets, and agree to insert as a 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point to Part C, Policy EL11:

- **Assess the impact on the setting of heritage assets, including those beyond the Meridian Water and borough boundaries;**

<b>Chapter 5, Section 5.2</b>
-------------------------------

<b>06 B</b>
-------------

Both parties agree the AAP document will be modified by removing the table in Section 5.2 and therefore references to London Plan policy 7.8 and Enfield Local Plan Policy DMD44 are not required.

<b>Chapter 5 Policy EL12</b>
------------------------------

<b>06 A, D</b>
----------------

Both parties recognise the industrial and archaeological heritage of the area and agree to amend the wording for Policy EL12 at the 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point:

- Demonstrate **how** an understanding of the industrial heritage and archaeology of the area **has informed the design, and seek opportunities to provide heritage interpretation, for example through public art or display panels;**

<b>Chapter 5 Policy EL12</b>
------------------------------

<b>06 E</b>
-------------

Both parties support design which reflects the watercourse history of the area and agree the addition of the following to Policy EL12, subsection 'Waterways and Water Frontages', to follow the 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point:

- **Consider opportunities to better reveal and interpret the natural and man-made watercourse heritage:**

Not Specific	06 C, E
--------------	---------

Both parties recognise that Edmonton Leaside lies within an area of archaeological importance and that development has the potential to uncover archaeological remains. The planning application process provides the required measures for the identification and protection of potential archaeological remains.

Both parties remain committed to effective co-operation on all matters relating to the Duty to Co-operate.

**Signed on behalf of Enfield Council**

**Signed on behalf of Historic England**

Signed 

Signed 

Neeru Kareer BA (Hons) MSc MRTPI  
Head of Strategic Planning and Design  
(interim)  
Place

Richard Parish  
Historic Places Adviser

Date: 15.08.2018

Date: 16/8/2018