

Thursday 11 Oct 2018 14:00hrs

Attendees: LBE, La Salle, Quod

Matter 8 : Infrastructure

Issue: Whether the Plan makes appropriate provision for Infrastructure to serve the needs of proposed development, including community and cultural facilities and services to meet local needs. Does the Plan adequately accommodate the operation of existing strategic infrastructure providers?

1. Would the policies in the plan make adequate provision for future needs for :
 - Health care (including mental health provision, GPs and secondary care)
 - Education
 - Sports and open space
 - Other community uses and infrastructure
2. Is the plan based on realistic assumptions in relation to the capacity to provide supporting infrastructure at higher densities? What is the justification for the standards used in the scenario testing? Are the assumptions made about "dual – use" realistic and achievable?
3. Would any of the policies compromise the operations of infrastructure providers? (Deephams Sewage Treatment Works).
4. Does the plan make adequate provision for waste? Is it consistent with local and national policy for waste?
5. In relation to the Lee Valley Heat Network, is policy EL26 justified? How does it accord with local and national plan policy? Is it too prescriptive? Does the involvement of LBE as a stakeholder in "Energetik" leave the policy unsound?
6. Would the wording proposed in the relevant Plan policies be an effective means of achieving the aims and objectives of the Plan? EL13, EL17, EL5, EL26
7. When looking cumulatively at the scale of obligations and policy requirements, are the sites and the scale of development identified in the Plan viable and deliverable?

Matter 9 Implementation

ISSUE: Will the plan be effective?

1. Are the vision and objectives of the Plan realistic and achievable?
2. Does the plan adequately identify constraints to implementation and how does it seek to overcome these?
3. Does the plan provide for a realistic timescale for development?
4. Does it identify appropriate phasing for development through the plan period and beyond? Does it preclude earlier development of some sites?
5. Are there any omissions in the projects identified that would compromise soundness? Have any other projects been considered and discounted?